Evaluation Form

Religious and Sacred Poetry : An International Quarterly of Religion, Culture and Education $2/2,\,281\text{-}282$

2014

Artykuł został opracowany do udostępnienia w internecie przez Muzeum Historii Polski w ramach prac podejmowanych na rzecz zapewnienia otwartego, powszechnego i trwałego dostępu do polskiego dorobku naukowego i kulturalnego. Artykuł jest umieszczony w kolekcji cyfrowej bazhum.muzhp.pl, gromadzącej zawartość polskich czasopism humanistycznych i społecznych.

Tekst jest udostępniony do wykorzystania w ramach dozwolonego użytku.



Evaluation Form

Religious and Sacred Poetry: An International Quarterly of Religion, Culture and Education CODE of the reviewed article (identification details, including author's name, affiliation,

| CODE of the reviewed article (identifi | | | _ | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|------|---------|--|
| title, and rank are encoded and known only to the editorial board up to the time of publi | | | | | | |
| tion, because of the double-blind review process) | | | | | | |
| Title of the reviewed article (text) | | | | | | |
| Topic of the reviewed article (text) | | | | | | |
| The review of the article | | | | | | |
| Rank/title, first name and surname of the reviewer. | | | | | | |
| 1. Table of evaluation according to the criteria | | | | | | |
| The criteria of evaluation for the | | | | | | |
| text review and the assessement of | Very | Marginal | Acceptable | Good | Excelle | |
| coherence between the text and the | wea k | | | | nt | |
| criteria: | V | | | | | |
| 1. Coherence with the profile of the | | | | | | |
| journal | | | | | | |
| 2. Originality (does the text contain | | | | | | |
| new, important research statements or | | | | | | |
| results, or new, significant infor- | | | | | | |
| mation) | | | | | | |
| 3. Quality of scholarly methodology | | | | | | |
| (research methodology, application of | | | | | | |
| proper methods for research and the | | | | | | |
| principles of publishing scholarly | | | | | | |
| texts) | | | | | | |
| 4. Practical applicalibity | | | | | | |
| 5. Completeness (are all elements of | | | | | | |
| the scholarly text present) | | | | | | |
| 6. Comprehension by the reader (is | | | | | | |
| the text coherent and logical) | | | | | | |
| 7. Quality of references and docu- | | | | | | |
| mentation (footnotes) | | | | | | |
| 8. Structure and style of presenta- | | | | | | |
| tion [organization of material and | | | | | | |
| style of presentation] | | | | | | |
| 9. Clarity/quality of tables, diagrams | | | | | | |
| 10. Relevance or topicality of the | | | | | | |
| subject | | | | | | |
| • | | | • | | | |

Implications: Does the text correctly identify its implications for further scholarly research, theoretical or practical solutions, or social development?

281

| Does the text reveal or illustrate a connection between theory and practice? | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| Can this text be used in practical education, further research, | | | | | |
| utilizing the input of the article? | | Т | | | |
| Are the research results presented in the text coherent with the resear | rch issues? | | | | |
| 2. RECOMMENDATION | | | | | |
| Recommendation/Quality of the article: (please mark only one answer) | | | | | |
| ACCEPT WITHOUT REVISIONS (recommended unconditionally) | 1- | | | | |
| Accept after minor editorial revisions (recommended with som | ie | | | | |
| revisions – text fails several criteria) | | | | | |
| Accept after serious revisions (another review required) (not rec | | | | | |
| ommended, text shows promise but does not fulfill the criteria in it | ts | | | | |
| current form) | | | | | |
| REJECT WITH POSSIBILITY OF REVISION AND RESUE | 3- | | | | |
| MISSION | | | | | |
| REJECT (text does not fulfill the criteria) | | | | | |
| signature of the reviewer (or a sign): | ••••• | | | | |
| 3. JUSTIFICATION | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| [Scholarly value of the reviewed text (no more than 600 |) characters) | 1 | | | |
| 4. Comments on particular points (1-10) of the criteria: | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 10 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| [no more than 600 characters] | | | | | |
| Legible signature of the Reviewer | | | | | |
| Place date (dd.mm.vvv) | | | | | |